

**GREEN LAKE COUNTY  
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT  
Meeting Minutes – April 25, 2007**

**CALL TO ORDER**

The meeting of the Board of Adjustment was reconvened by Vice-Chair Shirley Parker at 1:00 p.m., in the County Board Room, Courthouse, Green Lake, WI.

Present: Donald Ahonen, Roger Ladwig (Alternate 1), Charles Lepinski (Alternate 2),  
Shirley Parker  
Absent: Bill DePue,  
Also present: Al Shute, County Surveyor/Land Development Director  
Bernie Sorenson, Code Enforcement Officer  
Matt Kirkman, Code Enforcement Officer  
Carole DeCramer, Secretary  
John Blazel, Counsel for the Board of Adjustment  
Brenda Young, Court Reporter

Vice-Chair Parker was seated in Mr. DePue's absence; Alternate #1 Ladwig was seated in Mrs. Parker's position.

**See Transcript of Proceedings for verbatim testimony:**

**Item I: Owners:** Michael & Susan Crosby **Applicants:** Rose & Walter Howald, Elizabeth Kneesel  
**Site Address:** N4870 N Lake Shore Dr, Parcel #016-1584-0000, Beyer Cove Assessor Plat Lot 1  
Certified Survey Map 374 (Lot 22) of Section 3, T15N R12E, in the Town of Princeton **Explanation:**  
The applicants are appealing the decision of the Land Use Planning & Zoning Department to issue land use permit #10278. The applicants are requesting that the Board of Adjustment review the land use permit and determine if the permit was issued in accordance with Chapter 338, County Shoreland Protection Ordinance. Section 338-38(2) of the Shoreland Protection Ordinance states that the Board of Adjustment shall hear and decide appeals where it alleged there is an error in any order, requirement, decision or determination made by an administrative official in the enforcement and administration of this chapter.

a. Board Discussion & Deliberation

Attorney Blazel – Explained to the Board the two-step test in determining whether or not someone has the right to appeal. There are two issues that they must decide. The first issue is whether or not the appellants have a right to appeal. The second issue is, if you find they do have a right to make an objection, to decide whether or not the appeal should be granted or denied.

b. Board Decision

**Motion by Ahonen/Ladwig, that the appellants have standing to make the appeal. Ahonen – nay, Ladwig – aye, Parker – nay. Motion denied.**

**Findings:**

**Ahonen** – I voted that they do not have standing because there is no infringement on the day light, the air, or a substantial deterioration of any view that they may have. This is a dead-end street. There is no street noise. The amount of traffic in there is minimal. The disruption of adjoining easements and that type of thing that has been disturbed or in construction are, in my opinion, civil issues and should be dealt with civilly.

**Parker** – The view is not obstructed to the point where it's unpleasant. Noise, pollution, or anything like that at the end of the road, seems to be minimal. The air, the light. I can not see that it will cause a problem especially to the neighbors on the north because of their large area they have. They are up more on a knoll, they're higher. I just do not feel that any of this has been violated.

**Ladwig** – I feel that they have the right to appeal because I'm looking at the exhibit here and half their view has been cut off and that's why I voted the way I did.

**PUBLIC COMMENT** – None

**PUBLIC APPEARANCES** – None

**CORRESPONDENCE**

Nancy Cederholm Letter

The Board recognized the letter that was received from Ms. Cederholm and recommended to the county attorney that he should respond, if appropriate.

**BOARD DISCUSSION** – None

**NEXT MEETING DATE**

June 15, 2007 – 9:00 a.m.

**ADJOURN**

**Motion by Ladwig/Ahonen, unanimously carried, to adjourn. Motion carried.**

Time: 1:54 a.m.

Recorded by,  
Carole DeCramer  
Board of Adjustment Secretary

**APPROVED ON:**

**June 15, 2007**